Kill the PDF: Moving Urban Planning Beyond Static Tools

Nichola Panaretos and Nathan Quadros

Urban planning is undergoing a digital transformation. Planners now have an unprecedented opportunity to adopt dynamic, data-driven tools that are reshaping how we plan and manage our communities. The continued reliance on static documents, such as PDFs (effectively digital paper), paper maps, and static images, are proving inadequate under the growing pressure to accelerate approvals, respond to housing demand, and adapt to climate and economic imperatives.

A new generation of planning tools now integrates live data in real time and offers interactive insights. Platforms like Esri CityEngine, Giraffe, and Archistar are equipping planning authorities with digital twins, GIS capabilities, and simulations. Yet many planners have been slow to adopt these new tools and to build fluency in these emerging digital languages.

The Problem with Static Planning Approaches

Australia's legal system functions by keeping static records representing information fixed at a point in time. This is necessary because it provides an objective point of reference, identifies a clear source and creator, and maintains accountability. While it is a necessary part of the planning process it should not be the focus directing the outcome.

Improving the statutory planning process to reap the benefits of digital innovation requires change across multiple levels. All levels of the statutory process continue to uphold static recording leading to inefficiencies which don't reflect urban change.

At a strategic level, statutory planning processes must evolve to reflect the dynamic data and analytical tools now available. In Queensland, for example, the Planning Act governs how planning schemes and maps, both inherently static instruments, are amended typically through formal adoption processes. This approach is slow and cumbersome, often resulting in good planning outcomes occurring in spite of the planning scheme, rather than because of it.

At delivery levels of the statutory process, static recording uses fixed digital formats, such as PDFs and images, which have limited or no interaction between the user and the content. This leads to several limitations:

- Planners relying on outdated PDFs that do not reflect current policy or spatial conditions
- Limited real-time insights to track changes in zoning, environmental factors, or construction progress
- Inability to query, reuse, or integrate data for related purposes across the planning lifecycle
- Higher costs and delays from managing errors across multiple versions and disconnected formats
- The near-impossible task of retrofitting design changes once documentation has been finalised

These constraints result in time and cost inefficiencies throughout the planning process. With governments increasingly bypassing planning processes to fast-track development, planners must take the lead in driving digital transformation to ensure that planning remains relevant, responsive, and aligned with broader societal goals.

Embracing Dynamic Technologies

Mobile devices and web browsers use real-time data to model, test and refine planning decisions by continuously adapting to changing needs, environments, and conditions. These technologies are transforming industries worldwide, with notable examples within planning including:

- 'Designing your Harbour Park Project' – Infrastructure NSW's app for community engagement enabled public interaction with design ideas and collaboration with the project team.
- 'The Land Information Platform' (UK) – Coventry City Council and the Department for Communities and Local Government, UK, collaborated on this machine learning tool to identify development sites and model urban growth, providing stakeholders with options and trade-offs (Future Cities Catapult, 2018, p. 18)[1].

If planners truly embrace digital tools, we can simulate policy decisions, track infrastructure rollout, and test real-world impacts before implementation.

Static vs Dynamic: Institutional Barriers

The current regulatory framework, with its continued reliance on static outputs (e.g. PDFs, JPGs), drives inefficient duplication of effort. Digital insights, essential for simultaneously recording, analysing, and demonstrating compliance with evolving standards and objectives, are increasingly delivered, only to be translated back into static formats for compliance purposes.

For example, Logan City Council restricts zoning boundary updates to major scheme amendments, typically on a biennial basis, preventing live integration of cadastral datasets. This leads to misaligned zoning information and incorrect assessment levels, undermining planning accuracy.

As a result, the industry is not actively embracing digital innovation but stumbling into it. Consequently, the digital innovation gap is widening, wasting time, money, resources and skills and undermining progress.

Compounding the issue, government tender processes usually contain lists of required static outputs, contrary to expectations to demonstrate digital innovation through methodology. This contradiction reflects a misunderstanding of the relationship between method and output and suppresses the exploration of digitally innovative, dynamic planning tools.

Improving Digital Literacy

The key is to raise digital literacy within the planning profession by clearly demonstrating the benefits of digital tools while reducing barriers to adoption. Low digital proficiency is a critical constraint holding back innovation in planning and design. While planners and clients are experts in development, many are not yet equipped to fully leverage the capabilities of modern digital tools. As a result, planners are falling behind in responding to the growing physical, regulatory, and social complexities of development. To remain effective, planning education and workplaces must actively invest in building digital proficiency and embedding data, modelling, and interactive technologies into core professional practice.

Static Approach Case Study

The Victorian Planning Authority's Precinct Structure Plans (PSPs) highlight the limitations of static planning approaches. These plans often cover vast areas and guide development over decades, yet they are typically delivered as static documents that fail to reflect ongoing changes in land status, ownership, and evolving physical, legal, social, economic, or environmental conditions.

Alarmingly, many PSPs are years, or decades outdated, misleading unsuspecting decision-makers with obsolete property boundaries or site features (e.g. flood levels or heritage citations), duplicating and triplicating work to verify updated information.

In short, static approaches to strategic planning delay comprehension of proposed changes, hinder the ability to visualise future outcomes, and significantly increase the time and resources required to reconcile fragmented datasets. Moreover, they obstruct collaboration and data sharing between government agencies, landowners, and stakeholders thereby exacerbating risks and compounding inefficiencies.

Vision for Change

Imagine a future where site conditions, precinct density targets, population figures, and employment data within Victoria's PSPs are automatically updated as development progresses. Where PSPs actively track infrastructure delivery, zoning amendments, and environmental conditions in real time which will empower decision-makers with precise forecasting, integrated boundary changes, and layered historical data.

This would unlock faster approval times, enabled by:

- Easy modelling and visualisation of development scenarios
- Improved negotiations between adjoining landowners and authorities
- Transparent communication of delivered densities for individual parcels
- Clear articulation of changing government expectations (e.g. refreshed density targets)
- Automatic confirmation and notification of relevant referral authorities
- Greater transparency and accountability across the planning process

Victoria has already invested in the foundations with a $37M state-wide Digital Twin platform which hosts tens-of-thousands of datasets. We are on the cusp of a digital transformation in strategic planning practice.

The Consequences of Doing Nothing

If planners do not take ownership of the digital transformation, they risk being sidelined, leaving critical decisions to technologists who may lack understanding of the nuanced social, environmental, and policy dimensions of urban development. This outcome could set urban development back decades and result in poor performance in urban environments that can take hundreds of years to correct. Already, the tech sector is moving rapidly into the urban space, leveraging AI and big data to shape cities often without deep engagement with planning expertise. If planners aren't at the centre of this shift, we risk losing the human, place-based focus that underpins good urbanism

Conclusion

The future of planning is undeniably digital. Technologies like digital twins present powerful opportunities to modernise and navigate complex planning systems. Planners must be at the forefront of driving regulatory reform, shaping digital standards, and embedding GIS and data science into everyday practice.

Now is the time to bridge the divide between static legacy tools and dynamic digital platforms. If the profession doesn't lead this transformation, it risks being overwhelmed by a wave of change driven by others, without the rigour or nuance of planning insight.

REFERENCES

[1](Devlin. C, (2020) Cogitatio Vol 5, No 4 (2020): The City of Digital Social Innovators, DOI: https://doi.org/10.17645/up.v5i4.3214)

Nichola Panaretos
Senior Consultant, Veris

Nathan Quadros
General Manager - Digital Solutions, Veris